

From: [John Martin](#)
To: [Steven Lacoste](#)
Subject: Re:
Date: 05/21/2008 10:45 AM
Attachments: [2512842.tif](#)

We did not address the asphaltic material as it is much different physically from the concrete-like material described in the Action Memos. The asphaltic material wasn't utilized in the same way for driveways/walkways/etc nor it was friable.

John J. Martin (6SF-PE)
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
US Environmental Protection Agency
1445 Ross Ave / Dallas, Texas 75202
OFFICE: (214) 665 - 6748 FAX: (214) 665 - 2278

▼ ["Steven Lacoste" <SLacoste@LeeFutrell.com>](#)

"Steven Lacoste"
<SLacoste@LeeFutrell.com>

To John Martin/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

05/14/2008 02:27 PM

Subject

John,
Attached is a document you sent Debra Bendily of the LDEQ entitled "Summary of Site Activities to Date at the Westbank Asbestos Site." Under the May 1996 heading, the bullet reads "by the end of May 1996, 613 sites were located; however, 32 of those sites were the asphaltic material; therefore, approximately 581 sites have been confirmed." Does that mean that the asphaltic material was not deemed necessary to remove and therefore was left in place?

Thanks,



Steve [2512842.tif](#)